

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,226	05/31/2006	Franz Thoemmes	10191/4495	7534	
26646 KENYON & F	7590 03/23/201 KENYON LLP	EXAMINER			
ONE BROAD	WAY	BOECKMANN, JASON J			
NEW YORK,	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/23/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,226	THOEMMES, FRANZ	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JASON J. BOECKMANN	3752	

	JASON J. BOECKMANN	3752						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C pendos:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection Examiner Note: (1) box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILE.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since							
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	ithin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorresponding number of finally reju	scied ciairris.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOI -324)					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).								
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the new or amended. 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 8.10 and 14-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 133(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
/Len Tran/	(1.1.8.4							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752	/J. J. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3752 3/15/2010							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the applicant's arguments concerning the 103 rejection of French as modified by kobayashi, it is noted that the applicant argues that there is no applicant reason to increase the wall thickness of any portion of the valve sleeve of French because; it is fully capable of withstanding the pressures of the overmold unmodified as is, the overmold is already reinforced with element 70, and the overmold is not confined to the upper portion of the valve sleeve. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees, First of all, the reason why the upper portion of the valve sleeve should have an increased thickness comes form the modifier reference Kobayashi (paragraph 37). Kobayashi teaches that the will brickness is increased in order to help bear the remarkable pressures of the overmold. It is noted that the valve sleeve of French may be capable of withstanding these pressure of the overmold as is, but increasing the thickness will certainly help the valve sleeve in withstanding the pressures of the overmold. Secondly, it is noted that an increased wall thickness of the upper portion would help the valve sleeve withstand the pressures of the overmold only appears to be touching the valve sleeve at the upper portion and does not touch the valve sleeve anywhere but the upper portion in the French injector. Therefore, increasing only the wall thickness of the upper portion of the valve sleeve would retainly help to withstand the prince to evermold only necessary.